

EL DORADO COUNTY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

**BUILDING INDUSTRY ADVISORY COMMITTEE
AND
BUILDING INDUSTRY GROUPS**

MINUTES

DATE May 30, 2012

1:30 PM

TAC Room
2850 Fairlane Court, Building "C". Placerville, CA 95667

Members Present: Jerry Homme (EDC Builders Exchange), Earl McGuire (SAGE), Bill Carey (At Large Alt), Jeff Haberman (At-Large Mem), Virgil Toothaker (At Large Alt), John Costa (No.State BIA)

Members Absent: Steven Johnson (At Large Mem)

Staff Present: Tom Burnette, Tammi Gonsalves, Cara Bishop, Mike Elliott, Eileen Crawford

Building Industry Groups: None

CALL TO ORDER AND ADOPTION OF MINUTES: Motion by: Jerry Homme, second by: Earl McGuire All in favor.

CALL TO ORDER: Jeff Haberman @ 1:36 pm

MOTION TO ADOPT AGENDA: Earl McGuire, second by Jerry Homme: All in favor.

AGENDA ITEMS:

- 1. Storm Water Quality Ordinance proposal, schedule for Board of Supervisor's review in September, Ch.8.79 (Guest speaker Eileen Crawford) attachments Executive Summary and Public Draft Ordinance:**

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/stormwater/phase_ii_municipal.shtml:

Storm Water Ordinance started in 2006, still in the works. Phase two still being addressed for the west coast Lahontan interpretation? **Jeff:** Lahontan covers basin and some of our district. Lahontan process already ended under protest by BOS. Joint effort of litigation? Phase two draft was first released in November causing so many issues that numerous coalition groups got involved ending in hearings resulting in the state pulling back and rewriting. **Earl:** One ordinance to cover both districts? Concern rules, regulations, TRPA, Lahontan... **Eileen:** When draft was created those were taken into consideration but not all inclusive in ordinance. No ordinance just strictly for Tahoe basin. Storm drain dumping allows us to take action on violation. Email Eileen comments on draft ordinance in the next two weeks, by June 14th scheduled to go to BOS in September. **Earl:** Concerns with all the costs involved in creating and monitoring programs/ordinances/construction activity. **Jerry:** No additional building fees can be beneficial. **Eileen:** Rules don't need to increase restrictions, bmp's are working and the ordinance is capable to be kept on an even keel. **Jeff:** But if the state keeps coming in adding to regulations that affects ordinance. **Eileen:** A lot of DOT Fees are put towards managing storm water run off of construction sites. **Jerry:** If public are not CSLB licensed what are the legal remedies for unlicensed work? **Eileen:** It's the state's fining ability to give larger citations. And it's the property owners that are cited. DOT works closely with Resource Conservation District. They help with bmp and such but not with punitive damages. **John:** currently DOT is responsible agency? Is fiscal impact given in report to BOS? **Eileen:** DOT is lead but also Dev Svc assists with enforcement. **Tom:** Phase 1 is permitting and erosion control measures and bmp's are identified on plans. Phase 2 is patrol and inspection based on location of projects as well, proximity to creeks for example. **Eileen:** It's up to each department within their own scope of responsibility to fund in their own budget to cover

the costs of covering the program and its enforcement. **Bill:** Enforcement activity impacts budget costs, for example patrol times and how often patrols are sent out. **Tom:** West slope inspections typically incur 15, where SLT is at about 25 due to Lahontan regulations. **Bill:** Points out that staff analysis states that costs are also offset by fines collected (what's average, who issues, who collects).

Motion: Letter to BOS addressing concerns with the potential negative fiscal impact and development activity, request of fiscal analysis of ordinance. Motion by: Earl McGuire
Second: John Costa (All in Favor)

2. 2013 Proposed Building Energy Efficiency Standards, California Energy Commission,
[http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2013standards/rulemaking/:](http://www.energy.ca.gov/title24/2013standards/rulemaking/)

Jeff: Trying to make a house compliant with today's Title 24 standards is nearly impossible. **Tom:** Notice received on May 21st for hearing on May 31st. CALBO performed cost analysis that is published on the above website regarding proposed implementation of 2013 Title 24 standards. **John:** No. State BIA is working on this item and will be present at meeting. He will forward results to group.

Motion: Letter stating that overreaching of regulations and restrictions that adversely affect owners' abilities to build/buy a home in today's economy are not supported (added bureaucracy and costs, 10-18k added to the cost of a new home over the next 24 months on top of the already added estimated 5600-10k) based on the attached analysis from a more moderate climate with greater access to public utilities. Motion by Earl McGuire, second by John Costa (All in favor).

3. County Policy regarding buildings constructed without permits:

Tom: Explaining the policy and when it was started. 2010 building code added problems. There are practical difficulties in retrofitting sprinklers in existing houses. Public health and safety is primary concern. What's safe or not safe? Egress, ingress, smoke detectors, and carbon monoxide detectors are all low cost compliance measures. Rewarding for construction without benefit of permit by making it too easy to comply with today's codes/standards?

Jeff/Bill: Any structure deemed built after the 2010 code cycle adoption shall be required to bring home into compliance with current codes regulating public health and safety. **Bill:** Defer sprinkler issue to appropriate fire district? **Jeff:** Then do we enforce Accessibility requirements for commercial construction without benefit of permit?

Motion: Restructure paragraph to defer sprinkler requirements to the appropriate fire district to determine whether or not they are required to comply. Motion by Earl McGuire, second by John Costa: All in favor.

MEETING ADJOURNED, 3:45pm.

NEXT MEETING: 7-18-12, 2850 Fairlane Ct. Bldg C, TAC ROOM (lobby level)

Building Industry Advisory Committee

Minutes of Date 5/30/12

Page #2 of #2