

**DIAMOND SPRINGS AND EL DORADO COMMUNITY ADVISORY
COMMITTEE**

**MINUTES
March 21, 2013 6 P.M.**

**DIAMOND SPRINGS FIRE STATION 49
501 MAIN STREET
DIAMOND SPRINGS, CA 95619**

The meeting was called to order at 6:12 P.M. by _Chairperson Smart.

Members Present –Kathy McCoy, Dale Pierce, Erik Peterson, Bob Smart
Members Absent – Deanne Johnson, Larry Patterson

It was moved and seconded to approve the agenda, the motion carried.
It was moved and seconded to adopt the Minutes of 2-21-13, the motion carried

Open Forum

Dan Bolster from EDCTC informed the CAC and the Public that there is a workshop to provide an overview of, and solicit input on the **Diamond Springs and El Dorado Area Mobility and Livable Community Plan** on Wed April 3 at the Union Mine High School Library from 6 to 8 PM.

[http://www.edctc.org/C/DSED_Plan/DSED_Community_Workshop_4-3-2013.pdf]

There was a comment that the applicant at the last CAC meeting had found the process confusing.

It was requested that Sue Taylor’s proposal to bring together the design guidelines be agendized for the next meeting.

Topics:

1. Diamond Springs Lighting District: Erik Peterson and Matt Smeltzer. Established in 1928, currently “Zone of Benefit #49” has about \$400,000 on account for lighting in the Diamond Springs area. There is a **separate** account thru PG&E to underground utilities of about \$14 million for El Dorado County. There was a discussion about using these funds for a beautification project to underground utilities 1) thru Historic Diamond Springs and, 2) along the Highway 49 part of the Diamond Dorado Parkway, and seperately for appropriate lighting in Historic Diamond Springs. Estimate of cost is \$1.5 million for each segment, with an initial investment of \$250,000 per segment for planning and mapping. The planning for the Parkway willm take the desired end into account to plan construction. With multiple options and an estimated 3-5 years to establish a District there is considerable coordination to come between the CAC, the County and PG&E.

2. The “Peek into the Future” was result of the Community Visioning process that ended in October 2011, and was intended to capture what community members

had to say about what they hoped to see in our community in the future. Attachment 3 to the Agenda is a statement of values we want to incorporate into our Community ID Process (under the county General Plan). Dan Bolster (dbolster@EDTC.org) will collect public input and bring to the next meeting.

3. CEDAC (Community Economic Development Advisory Committee) Progress: There is a proposal to use TOT (Transient Occupancy Tax) to develop community assets, with the County Board of Supervisors asked to make these funds available for projects including grant proposals and improvement of the County Web Portal.
4. Diamond Dorado Parkway Meeting from 3/18/13. There was considerable community interest in the Parkway process, with many feeling that the development of this project could have had better public notice. The use of lighted Highway signs was noted as an improvement in notifying the public. Public involvement in the esthetic design of the Project thru a subcommittee of the CAC was invited, and it was noted that the CAC intends that future planning efforts have earlier and deeper public involvement.

Director Items(s)

The Meeting was adjourned at 8:22 PM.

The next meeting is scheduled for April 18, 2013 at 6:00 PM at the Diamond Springs Fire Station.

Action Item 2 October 18, 2012

2. Z 12-0005 - THOMSON REZONE (Alex Thomson/Daryl L. Prouty Jr/Lynne Prouty Thomson/Jo Ellen Parlin): A request to rezone from One-Acre Residential (R1A) to Estate Residential Five-Acre (RE-5). The property, identified by Assessor's Parcel Number 325-080-16, consists of 24.68 acres, and is located on the south side of Green Valley Road approximately 300 feet east of the intersection with Vista Lane, **in the Placerville area.**

Action Taken:

The Diamond Springs/El Dorado Community Advisory Committee feels that this property is not a candidate for high density due to wetland areas for which the general plan requires preservation and setbacks, and we support the landowner's request to rezone to Planned Agricultural Zone (PA), with the understanding that 200 foot agricultural buffers will not be enforced on adjacent properties

The CAC also supports the landowner's proposed use for farming.

Moved/Seconded and Approved October 18, 2012